

Women's Suffrage Caused Covid-19 Hysteria

Jim Kinkade

5/14/2020

The ridiculous and absurd over-reaction by our government to the global Covid-19 pandemic has proven yet again how much of a disaster women's suffrage has been for our nation. Females in leadership and females in the electorate have transformed our government into a totalitarian step-mom who has grounded us to our rooms because the boogeyman is outside.

Women should be disqualified from the administration of government based on the following three characteristics: their propensity toward hysteria, their gullibility, and their aversion to risk.

Women get hysterical

The word hysterical is derived from the Greek word for uterus, *υστερια*, the uniquely female internal organ. The ancients observed that groups of women whip themselves into a frenzy. They attributed this behavior to the uterus moving upward in the women's body.

Whether or not the uterus actually moves is beside the point. Modern women have not shed their tendency to become hysterical. Groups of women amplify the insanity of a single woman until they collectively lose their mind and revert to their primal instincts.

For example, look at how women behaved after a rape hoax was staged against Brett Kavanaugh. Women held demonstrations in capitol offices that amounted to nothing more than a juvenile tantrum. All over the world women conducted group dance ceremonies which descended into screaming. On social media women posted emotional gibberish about the patriarchy. They called it the #metoo movement. Nothing productive was accomplished.

Women are risk averse

Men compare the negative risk of a situation to the reward much differently than women. In fact, women avoid risk all together while men have a bias towards assuming a positive outcome from risky behavior.

Consider riding a motorcycle. In Texas, riders are not legally required to wear a helmet. Some men wear a helmet while they throttle down the desert

highway. Others eschew this basic piece of safety equipment. For these daredevils the inconvenience of a helmet outweighs the risk of serious injury or death in an accident without one. Men evaluate risk on a scale.

And how about women, do they wear helmets?

Trick question! They don't even ride motorcycles. (Unless it's on the back seat holding on to a man.)

Women are gullible

Women have a propensity to trust figures that seem authoritative over their own reasoning capacities. In other words, they are gullible. Paul the Apostle warns in his first letter to Timothy that women should not become pastors in the church because, "Adam was formed first, then Eve; and Adam was not deceived, but the woman was deceived and became a transgressor." (I Timothy 2:13-14)

The book of Genesis says the the serpent was "more crafty than any other beast of the field," so he held quite a high-status position. When he tempted Eve, he appealed to her desire for wisdom, saying that the Fruit of the Knowledge of Good and Evil will give her knowledge like God. Sounds good, right?

The style of the serpent's pitch trumped the substance of God's command. The fruit seemed good and the serpent seemed wise. But the hard logical fact was that God clearly commanded man not to eat that particular fruit. Eve was fooled and took a bite.

Here's another example of where we should mix religion and politics. If women are too gullible to be pastors, are they rational enough to be governors and mayors?

Serpents among us

Modern women still get duped by modern serpents. These modern serpents are the shysters who presume to occupy the position of an unassailable scientific authority. They take on the trappings of science by wearing lab coats or by holding all sorts of titles after their names. They make public statements that do not accurately reflect reality. In other words, they lie. And women believe them.

In January 2020 a virus broke out in Wuhan, China. Reports of extreme measures by the Chinese government to contain the outbreak spread on the internet. The American public grew concerned, wondering what measures we would take. Into that fear-filled context, shysters appeared as guests on cable news shows and as sources in articles shared on social media. They solemnly claimed they had never seen infection rates of such magnitude for a disease that could potentially cause so much respiratory havoc.

Then came the charts. These charts projected the infection and death rates. They only went up. They never came down. The shysters soberly warned us to

prepare for hundreds of thousands of cases by March and millions by April. The shysters said to prepare the hospitals for a war zone and get the coffins ready.

Gullible women believed these shysters. Then the hysteria kicked in. They clamored for our government to *do something*.

Do what? The federal government shut down flights from China and then Europe. They issued warnings about gathering in large groups, suggested working from home, and advised us to stop sneezing on each other. And don't forget the obsessive hand washing.

None of these measures were enough for the women who adamantly but vaguely demanded that we *do something*. That's because the men who issued them were trying to mitigate the risk from a novel disease whose infection factors were largely unknown.

Mitigation was not enough. Women wanted the risk eliminated.

Now the entire country is on forced lock down. Women are hiding in their homes, spritzing everything with antibacterial spray, and sewing masks. (But not just any masks. These masks need to be color coordinated with the outfits women wear when they dare to enter the outside world.)

When does the lock down end? If there's a risk that any one person could die from this disease women will still insist it continue. So unless some men, like me, talk sense into women, this lock down will never end. There will always be a risk that someone someplace may die from Covid-19 or something like it.

Disease delusion

Right now there are two Americas concurrently existing on the same real estate. One where people are going about business as usual. The Covid-19 hype blew over and they are returning to regular life without fanfare or attention from the media. The other where women hide from the viral gas cloud that will claim the life of anyone who emerges from their home without a mask. Every surface is contaminated. Indefinite lock downs are the new normal.

The disease did not do what the shysters predicted. That's reality. The mortality rate is not even close to the shysters' prediction. That's reality. Lots of people in many parts of the country following aren't following the guidelines. They are not social distancing, nor wearing masks, and they are doling out firm handshakes. How many deaths have resulted? Zero. That's reality.

Meanwhile, women in the effeminate portions of the country live under a delusion of an epic disease. The problem is that women are locked into a gullibility cycle.¹ They double down on their trust in the shysters whenever presented with empirical evidence contrary to their lies. Day by day as the cold hard facts show that the Covid-19 pandemic has come and gone, the grip of the shysters grows stronger on gullible women. Right now, the specter of the second wave flares up their hysteria.

¹I'll explain the gullibility cycle in detail in an upcoming ebook.

Walking this back a little

Okay, guys, I need to walk this back a little before anyone thinks I am misogynistic just because I want to strip women of the right to vote.

Women possess traits that make them excellent mothers and companions. For example, they are trusting. This works well in the home where a wife trusts her husband and imagines the best of her kids. But when she steps into the cut-throat world of the public arena, enemies will take advantage of her trusting nature.

When men are off in battle or hunting, women work well as a group with other moms back in the village. But the group reactions of women can get hijacked by imaginary threats. This is the hysteria we see in mask-clad women, publicly shaming the mask-free women at the local cheese shop.

Our kids are born without any ability to protect themselves. What would happen if a mom cruised down the highway with her baby placed on the back seat of her Harley-Davidson? Luckily this has never happened. Women are so risk averse that they compete with each other for more elaborate infant car seats. This is again how risk aversion is good for a mother of infants, but bad for a Governor of Michigan who won't let her constituents buy seeds for their gardens.

Each of these three positive traits of women allowed our race to survive over the years since Eve gave birth to Cain, Abel, and Seth. In fact, there is no civilization without women. Not only would our race die out without mothers, but women are the smokin' hot wives that reward men for their death-defying adventures.

However, the traits that make women great moms and wives make them terrible leaders. Leaders must be suspicious of outsiders to protect the group from strangers with nefarious intentions. Leaders must keep their wits about them in extreme circumstances to strategically plan for survival, and take daring steps against all odds to claim victory.

Men tick the boxes for all these traits of leaders. That's what God designed them for. They are supposed to stand up against the serpents who enter the garden mercilessly picking on our women. Adam failed in that regard, but there's no reason that with God's help we cannot stand up to the spawn of the serpent, the shysters of today.

This is where we mix religion and politics. If women are too gullible to be pastors, they don't miraculously become rational enough to be executives in our Government. The best way to preserve a government by, of, and for the people is to keep women from voting. And we men should probably put this up to a vote while women are still on lock down.